

Name of meeting attended: 3rd SBM UG Programs Student Representative Committee Meeting

Date of meeting: 16 May 2008

Minutes Prepared by: Prerna Agarwal

Complete after meeting

Main points covered in meeting

Brief outline of discussion point (include reference from agenda)	Action agreed	Name of person taking action	Who else needs to know about this?
1. Agenda ref: Concerns with exchange → Can we include exchange grades to cover grades of courses in UST?	Different Universities have different grading schemes. It would be difficult to translate all these to HKUST standard.	/	/
2. Agenda ref: Year 0 BBA students need more attention. Need more consultation for major declared at end of Year 1.	Counsellors, UG coordinators already assigned. It is up to individual students to take the initiative to contact them.	/	/
3. Agenda ref: Major selection criteria. → New system of BCGA should have been set at the beginning of the new academic year, not in between. This affects the students of that year only. It is unfair for them as they were only aware of the new criteria at the end.	No change.	/	/
4. Agenda ref: Exchange vs. Major selection → the current system is that we select our exchange choices before our majors. There is a conflict, because exchange should depend on assigned majors.	Matter has to be looked into. Suggestion: Extend exchange selection deadline.	Angela, Ivy	
5. Agenda ref: Gap between students with	No segregation is needed. Students with accounting background automatically take		

Accounting background	<p>higher level courses.</p> <p>The upcoming 4 year program starting in 2012 already handles the conflict.</p> <p>But, if the problem progresses SBM will try to assign tutors.</p>		
-----------------------	---	--	--

Other notes:

Other material discussed in meeting:

1. Extend summer session, shorten winter session

→ Majority said not a good idea. Current winter session allows ample time to do activities such as internships. To make a more productive winter session, SBM could provide more events, such as study tours.

Views on matters discussed in previous meetings:

1. Year 0 weight.

→ No change in policy. 4 year curriculum in 2012 will automatically solve problem.

2. LABU101 conflict. Different instructors give out different amounts of information on projects. Students feel it is unfair.

→ Course evaluation will be carried out.

3. Global Business cases too demanding.

→ Case has been referred to the director of GBUS. Graded report with feedback for future reference will be provided.

→ Students should approach faculty members themselves if help is required.